

To: Croxton, Dave[Croxton.David@epa.gov]
Cc: Henning, Alan[Henning.Alan@epa.gov]; Wu, Jennifer[Wu.Jennifer@epa.gov]; Turvey, Martha[Turvey.Martha@epa.gov]; Rueda, Helen[Rueda.Helen@epa.gov]; Fullagar, Jill[Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov]
From: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: Thur 4/3/2014 12:34:04 AM
Subject: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment
Draft 2014 Oregon NPS Plan All Staff Comment document.docx

.....
Hi Dave,

As you know, Oregon submitted its Updated NPS Management Plan for our review and approval. I know you have not yet decided who will review the plan. However, I went ahead and asked Don for Rick's comments and how he addressed them. See below. This information should make it easier for the next person to review the document.

Do you know if Rick worked with our tribal folks to send out invitations for tribal consultation on the plan yet?

Cheers,

Jayne

PS I cc'ed the Oregon TMDL Team

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

From: YON Donald R [mailto:YON.Donald@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2014 5:24 PM
To: Carlin, Jayne
Cc: Waye, Don; FOSTER Eugene P; YON Donald R
Subject: FW: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Jayne, in response to your questions in your email dated April 1, 2014.

For your first question, *"Did Rick review any earlier drafts already? If so, do you have his comments?"* Below are Rick's comments on the initial draft plan dated 10-28-2013 (attached).

Jayne, in response to your last question: *"What changes did you make from an earlier draft?"*
We addressed almost all of Rick's recommendations. The one that Oregon is not doing is

providing a copy of the draft plan to Tribes. Rick has said that EPA is doing this. One of the big changes was in response to his comment #3. We developed Table 1: NPS MP Actions, Priorities, and Milestones 2014 to 2018 which is on page 12 of the current draft of the plan dated 3-28-2014 (which is also attached). Don

From: Seaborne, Rick [<mailto:Seaborne.Rick@epa.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2013 4:45 PM

To: FOSTER Eugene P

Cc: YON Donald R; KISHIDA Koto; CAMACHO Ivan; SEEDS Joshua; BROWN Trina

Subject: RE: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Hi Gene and all:

thanks for providing the initial draft of the NPS MP update. I did look through it and have some general comments which hopefully will assist in preparation of the next agency review draft to review. The focus of my comments are more on overall content under the revised (8) NPS plan element guidance (which you had attached in your below mail along with the draft plan):

1. Page 1: to reiterate Gene's tracked comment, the executive summary should cross-reference how and where each one of the (8) listed NPS plan components are addressed in the document. After doing this, it may be more apparent where more specific information addressing key components needs to be included in the document.

2. Executive Summary and Introduction: provide additional background and context for the NPS MP update (i.e. there was a previous 1999 OR NPS MP submittal, EPA approval of that, which was the basis for NPS program implementation, then the reason for requiring this update under the new 2014 NPS program guidelines and in conformance with the (8) NPS plan components, and how the update fits in with, or supplements, the original plan...etc). The primary focus of the current document should be to update NPS MP goals, priorities, actions and milestones for next five-year period. This five year plan then provides the basis for tracking annual progress under the program.

3. The NPS MP update should include a chart or table listing those overall program goals (or priorities), actions (preferably with trackable annual milestones) over the five year period. However you believe this can best be formatted. Possibly as a separate chapter or appendix. This would include some of what is also included as bullet items throughout the narrative - to extent those are "planned actions" and not past efforts. Annual milestones are needed or otherwise be as specific as possible in the action plan schedule in order to be able to track annual progress. This should include a schedule for prioritizing state waters through the development of watershed-based plans or equivalent process. As part of that plan should elaborate on restoration priorities, and the appropriate balance between statewide programs and on-the ground projects.

4. Page 9: Suggest under "319 Grant program" description to including a reference also to: "...Use of Annual Report to track yearly progress of implementation of the approved

NPS MP.."

5. Page 10: Suggest deleting the reference (at least here) to the potential loss of 319 funds under CZARA and instead reiterate the difficulty in implementing the NPS MP measures if 319 funding reductions continue.

6. Page 23: The CNPCP section will need the links and also to be updated as the NPS plan update is developed.

7. Pages 23-25: The draft plan includes a section entitled "Incorporate EPA Watershed Plans Elements into TMDLs and Watershed Approach Basin Reports" which is important to address. This section should include any examples of state plans which meet the nine elements, and how this process is/is not working. It should also indicate (as should the NPS plan goals/actions/milestones chart) planned actions to ensure continued progress in the development and implementation of nine-element watershed based plans. Page 26 includes a draft format of how the elements could be shown to be met on a watershed basis. But it's less clear how this has been/can be done. It should be noted that the new 319 guidelines do allow limited exceptions to watershed-based plans for certain defined 319 projects.

8. Page 29: Regarding the NWQI description, updated information regarding the status of Oregon NWQI watershed monitoring can be included as the plan update is further developed.

9. Page 29-32 (objectives and strategies): As indicated in comment 3, a chart or table should be included listing overall program goals (or priorities), actions (preferably with trackable annual milestones) over a five year period. The chart can incorporate planned actions included as bullet items from this and other sections. Page 32 includes a draft chart format which may help serve this purpose.

10. Page 44: Suggest re-checking the 319 grant numbers shown on chart and also include a description of how the 319 funds which go into the PPGs (for staff program support) vs the 319 project grants (for local implementation projects) are generally utilized.

11. Page 45: Targeting of 319 funds - as over "five years" vs just "FY 2014" - under bullets should be indicated if possible.

One last question I have is whether you will be doing a public review of the draft NPS MP and/or how Tribes in the State will be included in review of the draft.

Thanks again for the opportunity to comment on this initial draft.

Rick

Rick Seaborne

Nonpoint Source-319 Program Coordinator

US EPA Region 10, Suite 900

Office of Water and Watersheds, OWW-134

1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101

Phone (206) 553-8510

E-mail: seaborne.rick @epa.gov

From: FOSTER Eugene P <FOSTER.Eugene@deq.state.or.us>

Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2013 9:13 AM

To: Seaborne, Rick

Cc: YON Donald R; KISHIDA Koto; CAMACHO Ivan; SEEDS Joshua; BROWN Trina; FOSTER Eugene P

Subject: FW: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Hi Rick

Attached is the Draft 2013 Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Plan (NPS MP). I just sent this to DEQ staff for review and comment (see email below). After we get DEQ staff comments we will send out the revised NPS MP to state and federal agencies for review and comment before we finalize the document.

Please let us know if you have any comments or wish to discuss.

cheers

Gene

From: FOSTER Eugene P

Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2013 9:10 AM

To: NEWELL Avis; WILLIAMS Karen; DRAKE Doug; JOHNSON York; FERN Jacqueline; BLAKE Pam; MEYERS Bill; TUGAW Heather; GRAMLICH Nancy; WRIGHT Pamela; WALTZ David; BUTCHER Don; DADOLY John; DOMBROWSKI Tonya; LAMB Bonnie; AALBERS Steven; BLOOM James; BRANNAN Kevin; CALVERT Paula; CROWN Julia; HARVEY Julie; MICHIE Ryan; STEWART Sheree; MULVEY Mike; HUBLER Shannon; TULLY Martha; LOBOY Zach; MRAZIK Steve; NIGG Eric; WIGAL Jennifer; BLOOM James; BRANNAN Kevin; MICHIE Ryan; HARVEY Julie; MRAZIK Steve; PURCELL Jennifer; BELYEY David; BORISENKO Aaron; CROWN Julia; CALVERT Paula; NIGG Eric; BENNINGHOFF Benjamin; CATON Larry; ELDRIDGE Audrey; URBANOWICZ Karla; STURDEVANT Debra; MASTERSON Kevin; RICHERSON Phil

Cc: FOSTER Eugene P; BROWN Trina; YON Donald R; KISHIDA Koto; SEEDS Joshua; CAMACHO Ivan

Subject: Oregon NPS Management Plan Review & Comment

Hi Folks

Attached is the draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Plan (NPS MP) for your review and comment.

Having a NPS MP is a requirement of the Clean Water Act and EPA is requiring states to update their NPS MP every five years to receive Section 319 funds (EPA memo attached and on the NPS SharePoint page). We are required to submit our NPS MP this year (2013). Oregon's current NPS MP was written in October 2000 and it is a bit outdated :)

EPA issued guidance to the states for revising the NPS MP: Section 319 Program Guidance: Key Components of an Effective State Nonpoint Source Management Program (November 2012) (attached and also on NPS SharePoint page).

We would like your review and comment on the Draft NPS MP. The Draft NPS MP is attached and is on the [NPS SharePoint page](#) with a [calendar](#).

Please review the document by November 13, 2013.

Prior to November 14th you can check out the document from the SharePoint site, make edits, and re-check-in the document.

To avoid having many different versions saved to the SharePoint site, when you are ready to make your edits, check the document out from the NPS SharePoint page, make your edits in "Track Changes", and then check the document back in to the NPS SharePoint page. Contact Trina Brown (503) 229-6982 if you have any questions or need assistance with the checkout, editing, or checking back in process.

Beginning November 14th we have a schedule (see below) for edits and comments to reduce conflicts for document checkout.

Please remember to complete the "document sign off" process in SharePoint once you have completed your review (see below).

The schedule for edits and comments is as follows:

Eastern Region - November 14th and 15th

Western Region - November 18th and 19th

Northwest Region - November 20th and 21st

Laboratory & Environmental Assessment Division - November 22nd and 25th

Headquarters - November 26th and 27th

If you have any questions about the NPS MP or EPA Key Components please ask Don Yon (503)229-6850.

If you have any questions about the SharePoint page or about the checkout process contact Trina Brown (503) 229-6982.

Thank you for your reviews and comments.








Cheers

Gene


Step	Process
1	Access the SharePoint Page.
2	Under the “Shared Documents” area, click on the document link for the “Oregon NPS Plan”.
3	Select how you would like to review the document, (read or edit). <i>Note:</i> If you are editing the document it will be checked out to you. Due to tight timelines it is imperative that you check the document in as soon as you are done.
4	Review / Edit the document.

Find your name on the

5

Checklist NPS				
	Edit	Full Name	Document Sign Off?	Comments
		Bonnie Lamb	No	
		Bill Mason	No	
		Doug Drake	No	
		David Waltz	No	
		Steve Aalbers	No	
		Greg Aitken	No	

Checklist

- 6 Click the “Edit” Icon. 
- 7 Sign off on the document
- 8 Add comments
- 9 Click “Save”

Checklist NPS - Basin Coordinator ER-Bend

File

Save Cancel Paste Cut Copy Delete Attach Spell

Control Clipboard Actions Spelling

Full Name Bonnie Lamb

Document Sign Off

Comments

Created at 10/25/2012 3:06 PM by THOMPSON Michele
Last modified at 10/25/2012 3:13 PM by THOMPSON Michele

Save Cancel